

**SUPREME COURT MINUTES
FRIDAY, AUGUST 1, 2003
SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA**

S042698

PEOPLE v. JURADO (ROBERT)

Extension of time granted

to October 7, 2003 to file respondent's brief.

S111585B158391 Second Appellate District,
Division Seven

ROJAS v. S.C. (COFFIN)

4 Orders - Extension of time granted

- (1) to August 29, 2003, to file petitioners' response to amicus curiae brief of the Association of Southern California Defense Counsel.
- (2) to September 5, 2003 to file petitioners' response to amicus curiae brief of Ron Kelly & Elizabeth Bader.
- (3) to August 29, 2003 to file petitioners' response to amicus curiae brief of California Dispute Resolution Council.
- (4) to September 10, 2003 to file petitioners' response to amicus curiae brief of Law Offices of Ivan K. Stevenson/Confidential Mediation and Dispute Resolution.

S113359G028272 Fourth Appellate District,
Division Three

NOLAN v. CITY OF ANAHEIM

Extension of time granted

to August 4, 2003 for respondent to file the reply brief on the merits. No further extensions will be granted.

S113799D037761 Fourth Appellate District,
Division OneELSNER v. UVEGES (STATE COMPENSATION
INSURANCE FUND)

Extension of time granted

to September 4, 2003 for respondent to file the reply brief on the merits.

S114285

D038550 Fourth Appellate District,
Division One

PEOPLE v. CASPER

Extension of time granted

to September 8, 2003 to file appellant's answer
brief on the merits. No further extensions will
be granted.

S115824

MILLER (DONALD) ON H.C.

Extension of time granted

to September 4, 2003 to file reply to informal
response. The court anticipates that after that
date, only one further extension totaling 30
additional days will be granted. Counsel is
ordered to inform his or her assisting attorney
or entity, if any, and any assisting attorney or
entity of any separate counsel of record, of
this schedule, and to take all steps necessary to
meet it.

S115998

C037845 Third Appellate District

PEOPLE v. LANGSTON

Extension of time granted

to August 25, 2003 for respondent to file the
opening brief on the merits.

S117735

H024481 Sixth Appellate District

BOGHOS v. LLOYDS OF LONDON

Extension of time granted

to August 25, 2003 to file respondent's answer
to petition for review.

S116409

A097514 First Appellate District,
Division Three

PEOPLE v. MORROW

Counsel appointment order filed

Matthew Wilson is appointed to represent
appellant

S112862

B152928 Second Appellate District,
Division One

GRAHAM v. DAIMLERCHRYSLER
Order filed

Respondents' application to file their Answer Brief on the Merits containing 17,723 words, in excess of the word count limit of 14,000 words (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 29.1(c)), is hereby GRANTED.

S117831

MEYERS. v. S.C. (COUNTY OF HUMBOLDT)
Transferred to CA 1

S115925

BENNETT ON DISCIPLINE
Recommended discipline imposed

It is ordered that **BRICE EUGENE BENNETT, State Bar No. 104223**, be suspended from the practice of law for one year, that execution of the suspension be stayed, and that he be actually suspended from the practice of law for 90 days, as recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its decision filed on March 19, 2003, and until the State Bar Court grants a motion to terminate his actual suspension pursuant to rule 205 of the Rules of Procedure of the State Bar of California. **Brice Eugene Bennett** is also ordered to comply with the conditions of probation, if any, hereinafter imposed by the State Bar Court as a condition for terminating his actual suspension. If **Brice Eugene Bennett** is actually suspended for two years or more, he shall remain actually suspended until he provides proof to the satisfaction of the State Bar Court of his rehabilitation, fitness to practice and learning and ability in the general law pursuant to standard 1.4(c)(ii) of the Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct. It is further ordered that **Brice Eugene Bennett** take and pass the Multistage Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order or during the period of his actual suspension, whichever is

longer. (See *Segretti v. State Bar* (1976) 15 Cal.3d 878, 891, fn. 8.) It is further ordered that **Brice Eugene Bennett** comply with rule 955 of the California Rules of Court, and that he perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.* Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business & Professions Code section 6086.10 and payable in accordance with Business & Professions Code section 6140.7.

*(See Bus. & Prof. Code, § 6126, sub. (c).)

S115928

DE PASQUALE ON DISCIPLINE
Recommended discipline imposed

It is ordered that **DAVID LAWRENCE DE PASQUALE, State Bar No. 122608**, be suspended from the practice of law for two years, that execution of the suspension be stayed, and that he be actually suspended from the practice of law for 90 days and until he makes restitution to Roger K. Steward (or the Client Security Fund, if appropriate) in the amount of \$13,000 plus 10% interest per annum from March 9, 1998, and furnishes satisfactory proof thereof to the Probation Unit, State Bar Office of the Chief Trial Counsel, as recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its decision filed on March 11, 2003; and until the State Bar Court grants a motion to terminate his actual suspension pursuant to rule 205 of the Rules of Procedure of the State Bar of California. Respondent is also ordered to comply with the conditions of probation, if any, hereinafter imposed by the State Bar Court as a condition for terminating his actual suspension. If respondent is actually suspended for two years or more, he shall remain actually suspended until he provides proof to the satisfaction of the State Bar Court of his rehabilitation, fitness to practice and learning and ability in the general law pursuant to standard 1.4(c)(ii) of the

Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct. It is further ordered that respondent comply with rule 955 of the California Rules of Court, and that he perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.* Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business & Professions Code section 6086.10 and payable in accordance with Business & Professions Code section 6140.7. *(See Bus. & Prof. Code, § 6126, sub. (c).)

S115929

MILNER ON DISCIPLINE
Recommended discipline imposed

It is ordered that **DENNIS VANCE MILNER, State Bar No. 113464**, be suspended from the practice of law for one year, that execution of the suspension be stayed, and that he be placed on probation for three years subject to the conditions of probation, including restitution, recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its order approving stipulation filed on March 20, 2003. It is further ordered that he take and pass the Multistage Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order. (See *Segretti v. State Bar* (1976) 15 Cal.3d 878, 891, fn. 8.) Costs are awarded to the State Bar and one-half of said costs shall be added to and become part of the membership fees for the years 2004 and 2005. (Bus. & Prof. Code, § 6086.10.)

S115930

MEIZLIK ON DISCIPLINE
Recommended discipline imposed

It is ordered that **JAMES MARK MEIZLIK, State Bar No. 62116**, be suspended from the practice of law for two years, that execution of the suspension be stayed, and that he be

placed on probation for two years subject to the conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its order approving stipulation filed on March 26, 2003. It is further ordered that he take and pass the Multistage Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order. (See *Segretti v. State Bar* (1976) 15 Cal.3d 878, 891, fn. 8.) Costs are awarded to the State Bar pursuant to Business & Professions Code section 6086.10 and payable in equal installments for membership years 2004 and 2005.

S115931**ROWE ON DISCIPLINE**

Recommended discipline imposed

It is ordered that **SONYA C. ROWE, State Bar No. 153478**, be suspended from the practice of law for six months, that execution of the suspension be stayed, and that she be placed on probation for one year subject to the conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its order approving stipulation filed on March 21, 2003. It is further ordered that she take and pass the Multistage Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order. (See *Segretti v. State Bar* (1976) 15 Cal.3d 878, 891, fn. 8.) Costs are awarded to the State Bar pursuant to Business & Professions Code section 6086.10 and payable in equal installments for membership years 2004, 2005 and 2006.

S116086**WILLIAMS ON DISCIPLINE**

Recommended discipline imposed

It is ordered that **TRACY L. WILLIAMS, State Bar No. 161265**, be suspended from the practice of law for two years, and until respondent has shown proof satisfactory to the State Bar Court of respondent's rehabilitation,

fitness to practice and learning and ability in the general law pursuant to standard 1.4(c)(ii) of the Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct, that execution of suspension be stayed, and that she be placed on probation for two years on condition that she be actually suspended for three months, to run concurrent with the discipline imposed in S103280 (99-O-10472 et al.). Respondent is also ordered to comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation, as modified, filed April 4, 2003. Respondent is further ordered to comply with rule 955 of the California Rules of Court, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.* Costs are awarded to the State Bar and one-half of said costs shall be added to and become part of the membership fees for years 2004 and 2005. (Business & Professions Code section 6086.10.)

*(See Bus. & Prof. Code, § 6126, sub. (c).)

S116088

MOORE ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed

It is ordered that **MARK ROBERT MOORE, State Bar No. 74804**, be suspended from the practice of law for two years, that execution of suspension be stayed, and that he be placed on probation for two years on condition that he be actually suspended for 60 days. Respondent is also ordered to comply with the other conditions of probation, including restitution, recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed April 3, 2003. Costs are awarded to the State Bar and one-half of said costs shall be added to and become part of the membership fees for years 2004 and 2005. (Business & Professions Code section 6086.10.)

S117864

BURCHARD ON RESIGNATION

Resignation accepted with disc. proceeding pending

The voluntary resignation of **DENNIS DETMER BURCHARD, State Bar No. 132947**, as a member of the State Bar of California is accepted without prejudice to further proceedings in any disciplinary proceeding pending against respondent should he hereafter seek reinstatement. It is ordered that he comply with rule 955 of the California Rules of Court and that he perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 60 and 70 days, respectively, after the date this order is filed.* Costs are awarded to the State Bar.

*(See Bus. & Prof. Code, § 6126, subd. (c).)

